LYMPH NODE METASTASIS IN GASTRIC CANCER AND THE RATIO OF METASTATIC LYMPH NODES (RLN)

LORAND KISS¹, ROLAND KISS², CSILLA KOVÁCS³, CRISTIAN FLEȚAN⁴

¹,²,³,⁴ = Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu

Keywords: gastric cancer, lymph nodes, staging

Abstract: The routine identification and removal of regional lymph nodes (LN) in solid neoplasm is essential. The proportion between metastatic and examined lymph nodes (N-ratio) has been proposed as an independent prognostic factor in patients with gastric cancer. We analysed the postoperative survival of 124 patients with gastric cancer, having 96 intraoperative lymphography with colorant (methyl blue). For lymph nodes status (N, PN), two classifications were assigned: TNM, UICC/AJCC and the JSCA: (NR0: 0%, NR1 ≤20%, NR2 >20%). The impact concerning staging and survival of patients with gastric cancer and R0 resection was compared in the study group. A total of 124 patients with gastric cancer from our institution were studied retrospectively between 1998 and 2007. Results: a significant difference in survival was seen in the patients with NR1 versus NR2. In our multivariate analysis study, only NR with pT and histologically G (grading) were found to be independent prognostic factors. With JSCA classification, in the patients with gastric cancer operated between 2000 and 2007, stage migration was present in 43 cases (51%), with the UICCAJCC TNM classification, in the patients operated between 1998 and 2007, 15 cases (18%) and when NR was applied, stage migration was found only in 14 (11%) of cases. Conclusion: the NR is a simply reproducible staging system, with a very good prognostic significance.

INTRODUCTION

The prognostic significance of the number of metastatic lymph nodes (N+) with gastric cancer has been revealed by several reports and some authors suggested that the number of lymph nodes with metastasis exerts a larger effect on survival than the anatomic level of involved nodes.(1-4)

Anatomical distribution of regional lymph nodes is determined by the JSCA (5), but the numbering (N=1-16) and grouping (Gr 1-3) depends of the location and extension of tumours and it is too complicated to be used routinely in hospitals worldwide. Therefore, Adachi et al (2) classified the level of lymph nodes metastasis as level I nodes (peri gastric 1-6), level II nodes (intermediate 7-9) and level III nodes (distant 10-16) of the tumour location.

Some Japanese authors clarified that not the anatomical level but the total number of positive LN (1-6 vs ≥7) was an independent prognostic indicator for N+ gastric cancer.(2)

Many authors have suggested that the extended lymphadenectomy D2 or even D3, has potential for a more appropriate pathological staging, better regional disease control and survival advantage.(6,7,8)

The degree of curative efficacy of the lymphadenectomy will remain unknown until prospective randomised trials show evidence of disease control and survival.(9,10)

In Europe and in USA, extended lymph nodes dissection is not randomly performed despite the fact that more than 50% of patients with gastric resection for carcinoma show N+ disease.(11,12)
In the TNM system, the number of LN to be removed and examined for adequate staging is unclear, varying from 10 to 15 or greater than 25. (13, 14, 15)

In JSCA, an extended lymphadenectomy is mandatory for N-stage classification (5). The rate of „stage migration” for both systems is over 15% (16, 17, 18).

In current opinion, the absolute number of metastatic locoregional lymph nodes (TNM-N category) is the most reliable prognostic indicator for patients with radically resected gastric cancer. (21, 20, 19)

The UICC/AJCC classification, which is the most widely used for the staging of gastric cancer, suggests that at least 15 LN should be examined for a correct assessment of N-category and this implies that D1 dissection, limited to the level I (1-6 group, perigastric), LN might not guarantee an accurate staging, but D1-lymphadenectomy is routinely performed in Europe, which is supported by the fact that D2 dissection is associated with higher rates of postoperative morbidity and mortality. (14, 22-26)

The ratio between metastatic and examined lymph nodes (N-ratio) has been recently proposed, for identifying prognostic subgroups among patients with N1 and N2 disease and reduce the phenomenon of stage migration. (17, 21, 26-30)

The incidence of gastric cancer has decreased over the past 30 years, the disease remains the second leading cause of cancer death. (31)

Today, surgery is the only potenially curative treatment for gastric cancer. Lymph node metastasis occurs during the early stages of the disease and lymphadenectomy is recommended as a main component of radical surgery. The extent of lymphadenectomy has been a controversial topic for a long time without a worldwide consensus as yet. In the 1960s, Japanese surgeons first introduced the extended lymphadenectomy (D2), with systematic dissection of LN in the first tier (D1) and in the second tier (D2). In addition to D2 lymphadenectomy, LN around the upper abdominal aorta are dissected (D3) on the basis that 20% to 30% of patients with not-early gastric cancer (>T1) had microscopic metastasis present in para-aortic nodes. (32, 33, 34, 35)

In the present study, we retrospectively evaluated the prognostic significance of the NR in 124 gastric cancer patients. (10±11)

The value of p<0.05 was considered significant and for NR the calculation was by the Pearson correlation coefficient (r).

**METHODS**

In this study, 124 patients with gastric cancer admitted between 1998 and 2007 were included. D1 lymphadenectomy was performed in 100 patients and 24 D2 lymphadenectomy, according to JSCA and UICC recommendations.

For comparative purposes, we classified all patients by three staging systems:

- JSCA: p0, p1, p2, p3;
- UICC/AJCC/TNM: pN0, pN1, pN2, pN3;
- NR: NR0(Ratio 0%), NR1(Ratio ≤20%), NR2(Ratio>20%).

Lymph node status classification:

The 124 evaluated patients were divided into two groups according to the number of examined LN: Group 1 consisted of 98 patients with less than 15 LN and 26 patients with more than 15 LN were in group 2.

Lymph node involvement was classified according to both the 1997 UICC/AJCC TNM classification (N0: no metastasis, N1: 1-6 LN+, N2: 7-15 LN+, N3: more than 15 LN+) and the 1981 JRSC classification (n0: no LN+, n1: LN+ to group N1, n2=LN+ to group N2, n3=LN+ to group N3).

The medium number of involved LN was 50 (mean 10±11).

N-ratio:

In survival and stage migration analysis, the NR parameter was the best cut off approach in terms of the log-rank test. Rate of stage migration was calculated to each staging system; for this evaluation only perigastric lymph nodes (N1 station) were considered (D1-lymphadenectomy).

Statistical analysis:

Overall survival (OS) rates and 95% confidence intervals were determined using the Kaplan – Meier estimation (cit 16).

The following were analysed: age <70 years, age >70 years, tumour size, type of surgical resection, T-category (T1: mucosa or submucosa invasion; T2: muscularis propria or subserosa; vs T3: serosa; vs T4: infiltration of extra-gastric space), grading: G1 vs G2 vs G3 vs G4, Lauren type, anatomical location of LN+ (n0 vs n1 vs n2 vs n3), number of LN+ (TNM:N0 vs N1 vs N2 vs N3), N-Ratio between metastatic LN and examined LN (N-Ratio 0 vs N-Ratio 2 vs N-Ratio 3).

The Cox’s proportional hazard model was used for multivariate survival analysis. (36, 37)

For all 124 patients, the 5-year survival rate was 28% and in N negative patients was 50%, 18% for node-positive patients (P<0.0001) figure no. 1.

**RESULTS**

Classification of N and the elements for survival:

For all 124 patients, the 5-year survival rate was 28% and in N negative patients was 50%, 18% for node-positive patients (P<0.0001) figure no. 1.

Figure no. 1. The survival of patients with resected gastric cancer: effect of lymph nodes status

In table no. 1 the clinical and histopathological record of 124 patients and the 5-year survival rates are shown.

In this study, the tumour diameter, G grading, pT, residual tumour and TNM-stage were the most important prognostic factors. Lauren classification is important on survival, the patients with intestinal type having a significantly higher survival rate.

The 5-year survival rates of the patients staged by UICC AJCC pN classification were 46% to pN1, 18% for pN2.

In the classification by the ratio based pN classification, the 5-year survival rate was: 39% for NR1 and 18% for NR2.

Both the NR1 and the NR2 groups in the ratio-based classification system discriminated patients who would have been included in different prognostic categories according to the JSCA and TNM classifications (table no. 2).
DISCUSSIONS

This study confirmed that N-Ratio has a prognostic value independent of both traditional prognostic factors and extent of lymphadenectomy (≤15 LN or ≥15 LN). Several staging systems for LN-metastasis considering the level and number of positive nodes were assessed using multivariate analysis and the most important LN information associated with survival was determined by using multivariate analysis. The results indicated that 5-year survival rate significantly decreased when the number of positive level II nodes (N2) was greater than one. The lymph node ratio (NR) is becoming increasingly significant correlation between the number of N+ LN and the total number of harvested LN and NR (r=0.07; p=0.3), but there was a significant correlation between the number of total harvested LN and NR (r=0.8; p<0.0001).

No correlation was found between the number of total harvested LN and NR (r=0.07; p=0.3), but there was a significant correlation between the number of N+ LN and the NR (r=0.8; p<0.0001).

Table no. 1. Date of clinical and histopathological records for the 124 patients, 5-year survival rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases n=124</th>
<th>5-year survival (%)</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumour diameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤4.0 mm</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4.0 mm</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauren type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1-2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual tumor (R)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1-2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN AJCC/TNM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table no. 2. NR distribution, among TNM and JSCA staging systems in N

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TNM</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>NR1</th>
<th>NR2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7GCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSIONS

One great advantage of extensive lymph node dissection (≥15 LN) is that it allows improved staging of the disease and this is of utmost importance when survival rate in different series is compared. Lymphadenectomy is the only prognostic factor that can be influenced by the surgeon. The prognostic impact of the NR is less influenced by the number of dissected nodes and by the individual presence of lymphatics. The NR may be considered a simple reproducible staging system at institutions.
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